

# Target Rich Interrogation (TRI) - Hunter Laptop Coverup Scheme

**Filename:** TRI01\_The-Hunter-Laptop-Coverup-Scheme\_PDF-A-1b.pdf

**Version:** 1.1.0      **Release Date:** September 17, 2025

## Objective:

The first Target Rich Interrogation (TRI) centers on the Hunter Laptop Coverup Scheme, a critical point of focus in the broader investigation into the Rosemont Network. This scheme provides a litmus test, revealing who within the political landscape can be "trusted to be untrustworthy." In the case of the 51 intelligence officials who signed the letter discrediting Hunter Biden's laptop, it was a means to assess loyalty, with the promise of protection and career advancement for those who aligned with Biden's goals. Biden needed a Red Line to see who would back him, knowing that those involved would be compromised—vulnerable to manipulation, intimidation, or blackmail. It is essential to note that this scheme serves as part of a much larger plan, implicating not just the election interference, but a broader set of criminal activities, including the two Pennsylvania-connected attempted assassinations of President Trump, the hat tip to Hamas, and orchestrating a 9/11-style attack on Israel in 2023.

As Biden once said, "You help me? You've got a friend. You help my son? You've got a friend for life." This quote encapsulates the core of the Biden Administration's strategy to leverage loyalty and corrupt influence for personal and political gain.

---

## Key Areas of Focus:

1. **The Hunter Laptop Public Exposure:** The laptop became publicly known when *The New York Post* published an article on **October 14, 2020**, revealing contents from Hunter Biden's laptop. The article detailed emails and other materials from the laptop that were allegedly related to Hunter Biden's business dealings, particularly his work with Burisma and his father, Joe Biden. The story was based on materials that were reportedly found on a laptop left at a Delaware repair shop, which was corroborated by multiple news outlets, including *The Washington Post* and *CBS News*, who later confirmed the authenticity of the materials after independent verification and analysis.
2. **The Coverup of the Laptop and the Suppression of Evidence:** The coverup of its existence and the suppression of its contents form part of an orchestrated plan to shield those in power from accountability. The laptop contained emails, files, documents, videos, and other materials—not only personal data but also content related to Rosemont Seneca Partners, LLC (RSP-LLC). This firm is the lynchpin of this investigation, linking the laptop to the broader network that includes the Rosemont Seneca Corridor and the Rosemont Seneca Bullseye (also known as the Butler Bullseye), where Donald Trump was shot on 7/13/2024.

The key aspect of the Hunter Biden laptop is not the physical device itself, but the

intellectual property it contains. This includes materials related to RSP-LLC, personal data, and potentially criminal information. Crucially, it holds details about the creation of the Rosemont Seneca Corridor and Bullseye in Butler, PA, its ties to the broader Rosemont Network, and the criminal implications of these connections.

3. **The Role of the 51 Intelligence Officers and Their Media Enablers:** In October 2020, 51 former intelligence officials signed a letter claiming the laptop was part of a Russian disinformation campaign. This letter is a key part of the coverup, designed to mislead the American public during the critical election period. The public deception around the laptop is a direct violation of election laws and criminal conspiracy, involving key figures within the Biden Campaign, Transition Team, and potentially, many in the incoming administration.

## The Red Line: Criminal Violations Tied to the Laptop Cover-Up

Crossing the Red Line occurs when an individual knowingly participates in the suppression, distortion, or concealment of verified evidence from Hunter Biden's laptop — particularly with the intent to mislead the American public or interfere with the 2020 election. This includes:

- Signing or promoting the “Russian disinformation” letter
- Censoring the New York Post story through tech platforms
- Withholding law enforcement or intelligence verification
- Suppressing financial red flags (SARs) tied to Hunter's dealings
- Publicly denying the authenticity of the laptop despite verified access

These actions expose the individuals involved — not only the 51 signers, but also tech executives, media operatives, government officials, and Biden campaign insiders — to serious criminal liability under both **Pennsylvania State Law** and **Federal Law**. These are not technical violations. These are acts of **conspiracy**, **fraud**, **election interference**, and **obstruction of justice**.

Below is a breakdown of the specific criminal statutes implicated by these coordinated actions.

1. **Election Interference (18 Pa.C.S. § 4911):**
  - **Felony** – Interfering with or attempting to influence the outcome of an election through deceit, fraud, or other illegal means.
2. **Conspiracy (18 Pa.C.S. § 903):**
  - **Felony** – Conspiring to commit a crime, such as election interference or fraud, is a felony if it involves multiple people. This could apply to those who knowingly participated in the disinformation campaign.
3. **Obstruction of Justice (18 Pa.C.S. § 5101):**
  - **Felony** – Obstructing an investigation by suppressing or concealing evidence is a felony.
4. **Criminal Conspiracy (18 Pa.C.S. § 902):**

- **Felony** – Engaging in a conspiracy to commit a criminal act like the cover-up of the laptop is a felony offense.
- 5. **Deceptive Business Practices (18 Pa.C.S. § 4107):**
  - **Felony** – Participating in deceptive practices, especially in the context of an election, where the intent was to mislead the public and the voters.
- 6. **Fraud (18 Pa.C.S. § 4107):**
  - **Felony** – Engaging in fraud to mislead voters or suppress evidence, such as the false narrative surrounding the laptop, constitutes a felony.
- 7. **False Statements (18 Pa.C.S. § 4904):**
  - **Felony** – Making false statements to government authorities or the public in an official capacity is a felony, especially when it pertains to an election-related issue.
- 8. **Tampering with Evidence (18 Pa.C.S. § 4910):**
  - **Felony** – Tampering with or altering evidence to prevent its use in an investigation, such as suppressing the existence or authenticity of the laptop, is a felony.

## Potential Felonies Under U.S. Federal Law (USC):

1. **Election Interference (18 U.S.C. § 241):**
  - **Felony** – Conspiring to interfere with a lawful election, like influencing voters through fraudulent information or suppressing crucial evidence, is a federal felony.
2. **Conspiracy (18 U.S.C. § 371):**
  - **Felony** – Conspiring to defraud or mislead voters, especially with false information about the laptop, is a federal felony.
3. **Obstruction of Justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503):**
  - **Felony** – Obstructing a federal investigation, such as by covering up evidence (the laptop), is a serious federal felony.
4. **False Statements (18 U.S.C. § 1001):**
  - **Felony** – Making false statements to federal authorities, including misleading them about the laptop's authenticity or purpose, is a felony.
5. **Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1343):**
  - **Felony** – Using any communication medium (such as emails or phone calls) to perpetuate fraudulent information, including disinformation about the laptop, is a felony.
6. **Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1341):**
  - **Felony** – If disinformation about the laptop was disseminated via mail or other communication methods, this could be classified as mail fraud.
7. **Conspiracy to Defraud the United States (18 U.S.C. § 371):**
  - **Felony** – Conspiring to defraud the government, particularly if the laptop coverup was part of a larger effort to mislead federal authorities or the public, constitutes a felony.

## Conclusion:

Someone convicted of multiple felonies, especially with charges tied to conspiracy, obstruction, and election interference, could face **decades in prison**—potentially **up to 40-50 years** or more, depending on the circumstances and sentencing rules.

---

## Investigative Actions:

### Recommended Interrogation Techniques:

1. **Polygraph Testing:** As part of the TRI, polygraph testing is recommended for key individuals. It will help determine the truthfulness of claims and allegations, particularly regarding intentions, knowledge, and actions taken to cover up the laptop's existence.
2. **Document Forensics:** Requesting copies of internal communications—emails, memos, and classified documents—will help confirm the sequence of actions taken to suppress the laptop's contents. These communications can also show whether there was collusion to manipulate information related to the laptop or discredit it as evidence.
3. **Witness Testimony:**

□ **For those who signed the disinformation letter:** Gather testimony from the 51 intelligence officials who signed the letter falsely claiming that Hunter Biden's laptop was part of a Russian disinformation campaign. Testimony should focus on their awareness of the laptop's authenticity and whether they knowingly participated in a cover-up. Specific questions should address their motivations for signing the letter, the involvement of other political figures, and any potential pressure or coordination from higher authorities to suppress the truth.

□ **For those who did not sign the letter, but had the authority to reveal the truth:** Gather testimony from key individuals in government at the time—especially those in positions of influence within the **Biden administration**, **intelligence agencies**, and **law enforcement**—who could have exposed the truth about the laptop but chose not to. These individuals may include senior officials who had knowledge of the laptop's authenticity and were in a position to correct the false narrative. Testimony should focus on why they chose to remain silent, whether they were aware of the political ramifications, and if there was any coordinated effort to suppress this information for political gain.

---

## Primary Individuals of Interest:

The total number of **Defendants** and **PCCs** for this investigation is as follows:


- **Total Defendants:** 23 (Primary Defendants in **BB4\_Defendants\_Violations\_CriminalCharges.docx**)

- **Total Living Signers of the "Russian Disinformation Letter": 50**
- **Total PCCs: 2**

## **Key Officials Involved in the Laptop Cover-Up — Inside the Biden Campaign and Administration**

These individuals played **critical roles in suppressing the truth** about Hunter Biden's laptop. Some shaped the messaging. Others enforced silence. All **benefited politically or financially** from the disinformation campaign that followed:

- **Ron Klain** – Biden's Chief of Staff; likely oversaw internal coordination with the 51 intelligence signers
- **Anita Dunn** – Strategic enforcer; architect of Biden's press discipline and disinfo narrative
- **Mike Donilon** – Internal message czar; shaped Biden's "dark" persona and silence strategy
- **Jen Psaki** – Press Secretary; led the media shutdown of the laptop story
- **Karine Jean-Pierre** – Continued laptop denials and narrative suppression post-2022
- **Avril Haines** – DNI; remained silent despite knowing the laptop was verified intelligence
- **Jake Sullivan** – National Security Adviser; connected to Clinton-era disinfo tactics
- **Susan Rice** – Oversaw domestic policy; insiders allege she helped manage the laptop fallout internally
- **Janet Yellen** – Controlled FinCEN and the IRS; suppressed SARs and whistleblower activity
- **John Kerry** – Deep ties to Rosemont Network; helped insulate Hunter from scrutiny


 These are not side characters. They are **central operators in what may be the most dangerous election cover-up in U.S. history**.

## **Cabinet-Level Officials & White House Staff Who Shielded the Cover-Up**

While the 2020 campaign and transition team played a role in shaping the public narrative, the following individuals — who served at the highest levels during Biden's presidency — are implicated in the **continued cover-up and suppression of key evidence**:

- **Ron Klain** – Chief of Staff
- **Anita Dunn** – White House Senior Adviser
- **Susan Rice** – Director of Domestic Policy
- **Avril Haines** – Director of National Intelligence
- **Jake Sullivan** – National Security Adviser
- **Janet Yellen** – Secretary of the Treasury
- **Jen Psaki** – Press Secretary (2021–2022), led the post-election defense narrative

- **Mike Donilon** – Top speechwriter and internal enforcer
- **Karine Jean-Pierre** – Psaki’s successor, continued the narrative discipline

 These individuals were not passive actors. They played key roles in:

- Maintaining media silence
- Blocking federal transparency
- Insulating the President from scrutiny
- And suppressing financial and legal exposure

Each must be investigated under the **same criminal statutes** applied to the 51 intelligence signers.

• **Anthony Bernal** – Chief of Staff to Jill Biden and top White House advisor. Described by insiders as wielding behind-the-scenes control over the president’s decisions. Multiple sources suggest Bernal acted as a central coordinator within Biden’s inner circle, potentially facilitating the suppression of damaging information — including the Hunter Biden laptop story.

#### **Connection to Steven Hassan:**

While **Steven Hassan** is **not known to be directly involved** in the **Hunter Biden laptop scandal**, his **BITE model** and “**cult**” **framework** have been used to manipulate public perception and narratives surrounding key political figures. The suppression of the **Hunter Biden laptop story** was shaped, in part, by individuals influenced by Hassan’s ideological views on **mind control**, **narrative control**, and **victimhood**. His **BITE model** has also been incorporated into **FBI training** to help agents identify **cult victims**, which underscores the **psychological manipulation** at play in shaping public opinion around such scandals.

#### **Witnesses to Call:**

- **Tony Bobulinski** (Direct knowledge of Hunter Biden’s business dealings)
- **Devon Archer** (Involved in Hunter Biden's business dealings)
- **Gary Shapley** (IRS whistleblower with direct knowledge of laptop-related suppression)
- **Jason Galanis** (Convicted partner of Devon Archer and Hunter Biden, can speak to business model)

**Aside from the numerous already listed above, the following individuals may also be considered for inclusion in the investigation:**

- **George Soros** and **Alex Soros**, along with **Open Society Foundations (OSF)**: Their financial backing of organizations like **First Draft News**, now defunct, which played a pivotal role in suppressing the **Hunter Biden laptop story** during the 2020 election cycle, will be investigated for potential influence in the disinformation campaign.

#### **Former Leadership of Twitter (now X):**

- **Jack Dorsey** (Former CEO), **Parag Agrawal** (Former CEO), and **Vijaya Gadde** (Former Chief Legal Officer) played a central role in the suppression of the **Hunter Biden laptop story** in 2020. These individuals are of particular interest due to their involvement in the **decision to block** the **New York Post** article and **suspend accounts** sharing the story on the platform.

## Facebook (Meta), Election Engineering, and the Laptop Suppression Campaign

### • Facebook (Meta) Executives:

- Mark Zuckerberg (CEO), Sheryl Sandberg (former COO), and other senior executives at Facebook played a central role in limiting the spread of the Hunter Biden laptop story during the 2020 election.
- On October 14, 2020 — the day the New York Post broke the story — Facebook publicly announced it had "reduced distribution" of the article pending third-party fact-checking. Privately, Facebook executives claimed they were warned by the FBI to expect a "Russian disinformation drop," despite the laptop being authentic.
- In 2022, Zuckerberg admitted to censoring the story based on FBI briefings. Then, in 2025, internal FBI messages revealed that the Bureau's own Office of General Counsel issued an internal gag order forbidding discussion of the laptop, even though it had been in the FBI's possession since 2019.
- While Facebook suppressed information that could have harmed Joe Biden's candidacy, **Zuckerberg's foundation and affiliated nonprofits poured over \$400 million into local election infrastructure** — disproportionately aiding Democrat-leaning jurisdictions. These so-called "**ZuckBucks**" altered the administrative and turnout dynamics in key swing states.
- This raises grave questions: Did Facebook's censorship of the laptop story — combined with a \$400 million partisan investment in election operations — amount to **election interference**?
- The Butler Brief contends that this two-pronged strategy of **media suppression and financial manipulation** may have fundamentally altered the 2020 outcome.

## Christopher A. Wray — FBI Director and Central Figure in the Cover-Up

- **Christopher A. Wray**, Director of the FBI during the relevant period, is another named as a primary defendant.
- The FBI had physical possession of Hunter Biden's laptop since December 2019. Internal documents confirm the Bureau knew it was authentic and contained potential evidence of public corruption, money laundering, and influence peddling.
- Yet rather than correcting the public narrative or informing Congress, the FBI:
  - Issued an internal **gag order** through its Office of General Counsel, instructing agents not to discuss the laptop.
  - Briefed Big Tech platforms to expect a "Russian disinformation drop," triggering censorship of the New York Post's reporting.
- At the same time, **then-Director of National Intelligence John Ratcliffe publicly stated** on October 19, 2020:

“Hunter Biden’s laptop is not part of some Russian disinformation campaign.”  
(*Fox Business, October 2020*)

- The FBI declined to endorse or amplify that clarification — instead responding that it had “nothing to add.” This silent rebuke allowed the false narrative to persist just weeks before the 2020 election.
- Wray’s FBI never corrected the record, never rebuked the false 51-intel letter, and never briefed the public on the truth.
- Combined with Big Tech’s coordinated suppression and Zuckerberg’s massive financial deployment of “ZuckBucks,” this gag strategy helped secure the electoral outcome.
- Christopher Wray is therefore named not simply for dereliction of duty, but for enabling what **The Butler Brief** calls the most consequential law enforcement cover-up in modern American political history.

□ **Google:**

- As the largest search engine, **Google** played a role in **shaping the visibility** of the **Hunter Biden laptop** story. Investigate how **Google’s search algorithms** may have suppressed the story and minimized its presence in search results during the critical weeks leading up to the 2020 election.

□ **Other Social Media and Big Tech Platforms:**

- **YouTube** (owned by **Google**), **Instagram** (owned by **Facebook**), and other social media platforms that are known for content moderation policies could have played a role in the **suppression** of the story.
- Investigate how these platforms may have engaged in **content filtering**, **shadow-banning**, or **delaying news reports** related to the **Hunter Biden laptop** during the election period.

□ **Mainstream Media:**

- **CNN**, **MSNBC**, and other major outlets may be investigated, including Jake Tapper, for **downplaying** or **discrediting** the laptop story. Their role in **spreading misinformation** or dismissing the article as **Russian disinformation** has significant implications for how the story was perceived by the public.
- The investigation will explore whether **political bias** or **external pressure**, including potential **government involvement**, influenced these actions. Additionally, the decision to censor and downplay the **laptop story** appears to have been part of a broader effort to **shape public perception** ahead of the 2020 election.

Legal scrutiny should focus on whether their actions violated **First Amendment rights**, **defamation laws**, or contributed to **election interference** by actively suppressing information critical to the political landscape.



## Key Questions for Interrogations Regarding the Hunter Laptop Coverup

### 1. Awareness and Knowledge of the Laptop's Authenticity:

- When did you first learn that the laptop in question belonged to Hunter Biden?
- Did you personally confirm the authenticity of the laptop before making any public statements regarding it?
- Were you aware that the laptop contained not only personal content but also corporate materials tied to **Rosemont Seneca Partners, LLC (RSP-LLC)** and other entities connected to the Biden family?
- Did you know that the laptop was being suppressed or downplayed as part of a larger disinformation campaign?

### 2. Involvement in Suppressing or Misleading the Public:

- Were you involved in any discussions or decisions about discrediting the laptop's authenticity as part of a broader disinformation narrative?
- If so, who did you consult with regarding the suppression of the laptop's contents? What specific instructions or guidance did you receive?
- Did you participate in any meetings or communications where the strategy of dismissing the laptop as "Russian disinformation" was discussed or planned?
- Why did you choose not to retract your position on the laptop after new information came to light regarding its authenticity?

### 3. Coordination with Political Allies or Government Officials:

- Did you coordinate with any officials within the **Biden Campaign** or the **Biden Administration** to issue or support the misleading statement about the laptop?
- Were any external political operatives, groups, or individuals involved in crafting or promoting the narrative that the laptop was part of a Russian disinformation campaign?
- How involved were individuals from **Rosemont Seneca Partners** or affiliated entities in the actions surrounding the laptop's suppression?

### 4. Timing and Impact of the Suppression:

- Were you aware of the timing of the laptop's suppression, particularly in relation to the 2020 election? How did the timing of the suppression align with the election cycle?
- Did you recognize the potential political impact of suppressing the laptop information during such a critical period in the election?
- In your opinion, did the suppression of the laptop influence the outcome of the 2020 election? If so, how?

### 5. Personal and Financial Implications:

- Were any personal or financial gains involved in your decision to suppress or discredit the laptop's contents?

- Did any payments, incentives, or other forms of compensation come into play regarding the handling of the laptop and its contents?
- Were you aware of any potential criminal implications tied to suppressing the laptop's information, especially considering its connections to **Rosemont Seneca Partners, LLC (RSP-LLC)**?

#### **6. Polygraph Testing (Optional, based on investigation discretion):**

- Given the severity of the implications surrounding the suppression of the laptop's contents, are you willing to undergo polygraph testing to verify the truth of your statements regarding the laptop's authenticity and the role you played in its suppression?
- Do you agree that polygraph testing is a valid tool to confirm your involvement or lack thereof in any conspiracy to discredit or suppress the laptop?

#### **7. Knowledge of Incriminating Content on the Laptop:**

- Were you aware of the content on the laptop, especially materials that might implicate Hunter Biden, Joe Biden, or other key figures in criminal activities?
- Did you have knowledge of any incriminating emails, documents, or communications stored on the laptop?
- Are you aware of any criminal activities or illicit business dealings that were tied to the laptop's contents?

#### **8. Subsequent Actions and Cover-Up:**

- After the laptop's contents were revealed to the public, did you take any actions to distance yourself from the narrative that the laptop was part of a Russian disinformation campaign?
- Did you, or anyone you communicated with, make efforts to block, suppress, or manipulate the public perception of the laptop's contents post-election?

#### **9. Cooperation and Accountability:**

- Are you willing to cooperate fully with law enforcement and the investigation into the Hunter Biden laptop cover-up?
- Do you understand the gravity of the actions taken to suppress the laptop's contents, and the potential consequences for you and others involved?
- Are you aware of the potential for charges of election interference, conspiracy, and obstruction of justice arising from your involvement in the cover-up?

---

### **Criminal Liabilities of the 51 Signers: Pennsylvania and Federal Violations:**

Crossing the **Red Line** occurs when an individual knowingly signs the letter, falsely claiming that the contents of Hunter Biden's laptop were part of a Russian disinformation campaign, fully aware that the laptop was genuine and belonged to Hunter Biden. This action, in and of itself,

exposes the signers to a range of serious legal consequences under both **Pennsylvania State laws** and **Federal laws**. These violations are not mere technicalities; they represent deliberate actions that directly impacted the 2020 election and beyond, potentially leading to charges ranging from conspiracy to obstruction of justice, all the way to election interference.

---

### Final Note on Investigative Scope:

While the numerous individuals listed above are key to the investigation, it is important to note that as testimony is gathered, new individuals may come to light. Their involvement could either expand or narrow the scope of the investigation. As new details emerge, further names may be added to the list, and additional questions may be necessary to address any new leads or evidence. The investigation should remain dynamic and flexible to adjust to these new insights, ensuring that all key players are identified and questioned thoroughly.

---

### Conclusion:

The Hunter Laptop Coverup Scheme is a central issue that ties together several critical investigations into the Rosemont Network. By focusing on the individuals who knowingly participated in the coverup, we can uncover the extent of their involvement, expose any connections to illicit political and financial activity, and identify the networks that may have supported these criminal actions.

It is important to note that by signing the "Russian Disinformation" letter, these individuals knowingly participated in a deliberate coverup of the laptop's authenticity. No signer ever withdrew their signature, even as new information emerged, making each of them potentially liable for multiple felonies, including conspiracy, obstruction, and election interference. Those who wish to avoid further legal consequences may consider cooperating with the investigation.

Polygraph testing, document analysis, and ongoing investigative leads will help reveal the truth, ensuring that those responsible for these coverups are held accountable.

---

### Next Steps:

1. **Immediate Interrogation of Key Individuals:**
  - Begin with those closest to the Biden Campaign and the Transition Team.
  - Polygraph testing should be introduced to ensure the truth is uncovered, especially when dealing with high-stakes issues like election interference, national security breaches, and criminal conspiracies.
2. **Focus on Documentary Evidence:**
  - Request all relevant documents that may have been withheld, altered, or destroyed. This includes digital communications related to the laptop, emails from

individuals who signed the disinformation letter, and internal memos that reference the laptop or its suppression.

3. **Collaborate with the FBI and State Authorities:**

- While this is a Pennsylvania case, there will likely be federal coordination given the potential national implications of this coverup. Consider collaborating with federal agencies to obtain relevant materials, including the original laptop image from the computer repair shop.

4. **Extend the Investigation to the Financial Network:**

- Investigate financial connections linked to the Rosemont Network, focusing on the financial aspects of the coverup, including payments made to individuals involved in the suppression of the laptop information. The investigation should explore transactions and financial ties to entities like **Rosemont Seneca Partners, LLC (RSP-LLC)**, **Rosemont Capital**, **Rosemont Realty**, and any other associated entities that may be linked to Hunter Biden, Christopher Heinz, and other key figures mentioned in the Butler Brief. Additionally, explore connections to financial dealings involving **Rosemont Farms, Fox Chapel, PA** and other properties or investments tied to the Biden family or close allies. Scrutinizing the flow of funds, including any suspicious payments or investments that may have facilitated the coverup or served as bribery mechanisms, will be crucial in uncovering illicit financial activities tied to the Rosemont Network. These financial links could reveal systemic corruption, obstruction, and other criminal activities related to the suppression of the laptop's contents.

5. **Investigate Social Media and Big Tech Influence:** Look into whether **political pressure, lobbying**, or other external forces led to the **suppression of the Hunter Biden laptop story** by these platforms.

6. **Examine Media Bias and Conflicts of Interest:** Analyze how mainstream media outlets, like **CNN** and **MSNBC**, covered the story and whether they deliberately spread misinformation or failed to report on important aspects of the story.

7. **Identify Coordinated Efforts:** Investigate any potential **coordinated disinformation efforts** involving **Big Tech, politicians**, and **mainstream media** to suppress the truth about the **Hunter Biden laptop**.