

TRI03: The \$6 Billion to Iran (9/11/23) and the Hamas Attack on Israel (10/7/23)

Filename: TRI03_Bidens-Green-Light_9-11-2023_Attack-on-Israel_PDF-A-1b.pdf

Version: 1.1.0 **Release Date:** September 17, 2025

Objective:

This TRI centers on the financial and geopolitical consequences of President Joe Biden's decision to unfreeze \$6 billion in assets to Iran on **9/11/23**. This decision, which fell on the **100th anniversary of Adolf Hitler's coup in 1923, also on 9/11**, is a critical moment. The connection to Hitler's coup on **9/11/23** is significant and should not be overlooked. This action by Biden likely provided Iran with the financial means to fund Hamas' attack on Israel just 26 days later, on **10/7/23**, and to advance its nuclear program, putting the safety and security of the world in grave danger. This event, Joe Biden's second **9/11** event, aligns with both Biden's administration's broader geopolitical strategies and his own political ambitions, setting the stage for tragic events marked by the deaths of countless individuals.

Additionally, in the words of Rush Limbaugh, the term "Islamofascism" was first coined to describe the dangerous and extreme nature of the Iranian regime, which shares roots in Nazi ideology. This historical connection between Iran and Berlin, with its Nazi origins, helps to explain the ideological framework that powers the Iranian regime today, providing context for how these dark forces are interwoven with the current geopolitical crisis.

By strategically using the 100-year anniversary of Hitler's rise to power, Biden signaled a dangerous precedent for the future of U.S. foreign policy. His unfreezing of assets served as a de facto **"Green Light"** for the violence and bloodshed that followed. Whether intentional or by calculated oversight, this event was in direct alignment with patterns of violent international maneuvering, mirroring earlier acts of political violence. This moment also reveals that the **Rosemont** Network was not operating in isolation, but was entangled with a broader **Islamofascist** alliance that has long targeted both Israel and the United States, particularly through coordinated **9/11-linked operations**.

Connecting the Dots:

This moment links back to previous actions by Biden, most notably his efforts to undermine Trump while also framing him as a Nazi, bolstering the narrative that was later amplified. Biden's financial decisions and diplomatic maneuvers aligned with a broader pattern of instability, setting up the perfect storm of geopolitics, financial manipulation, and international violence. Biden, knowing full well the magnitude of this moment, would have been intimately aware of the actions he was enabling—and yet he proceeded.

Key Areas of Focus:

□ The 9/11-Style Attack on Israel

The **Hamas attack on Israel on October 7, 2023**, was the **first 9/11-style massacre** in the history of the State of Israel. The sheer scale of the attack, coupled with its brutal impact, mirrors the terror of the September 11 attacks in the United States. The timing of the attack was not coincidental but deeply symbolic, aligning with key historical events:

- It coincided with the **100th anniversary of Hitler's Beer Hall Putsch in 1923, on 9/11/23**, tying the attack to a broader historical and ideological context.
- This anniversary also aligns with **Tisch B'Av**, a day of mourning in **Germany** commemorating the **destruction of both the First and Second Temples of Jerusalem**, which holds significant meaning to both the Jewish people and Nazi history.
- The **9/11-style attack** was not just a terror act but an event deliberately linked to the **historical cycle of terror**, evoking memories of prior political violence and marking Israel's first experience of such **catastrophic terror**, funded by the actions of the **Biden administration** just **26 days earlier**, on **9/11/2023**, when the U.S. authorized the **release of \$6 billion to Iran**, directly enabling the attack.

□ The February 3, 2023 Train Derailment – Hat Tip to Hamas

The **East Palestine train wreck on February 3, 2023** is a pivotal event, tying directly into the **9/11-style attack** in Israel.

- The derailment date, **2/3/23**, itself is highly symbolic, representing **"the full genome"** with **23 chromosomes** from both parents, a chilling precursor to the 23andMe breach.
- The crash symbolized a **warning** or "hat tip" to Hamas, setting the stage for what was to come. It's a critical **geopolitical trigger** that aligns with other events in the **terror cycle**.
- Investigate how **government response and media coverage** of this event may have been deliberately delayed or mishandled, mirroring patterns of strategic misdirection in statecraft.

□ The April 2023 23andMe Data Breach – Exposure of Ashkenazi Jewish Genomic Data

In **April 2023**, **23andMe** was hacked, exposing **1 million genomes**, particularly targeting **Ashkenazi Jews**, linking this breach to broader geopolitical terrorism.

- **October 6, 2023**, marked the **53rd anniversary of the Yom Kippur War**, adding another layer of historical and cultural significance to the breach. The data was sold on the **Dark Web**, further amplifying concerns about exploitation of genetic information for terror purposes.
- The breach played a **strategic role** in Hamas's attack on Israel, as terrorist groups could use this sensitive genetic data for **bioweapons development**, terrorist recruitment, or targeting specific ethnic groups.

□ **The \$6 Billion to Iran – Funding Terrorism**

The **release of \$6 billion to Iran on September 11, 2023** cannot be viewed as a simple financial transaction but a **green light for terror**.

- This transfer occurred on the **22nd anniversary of 9/11** and the **100th anniversary of Hitler's coup**, signaling a deliberate connection to the broader terror landscape.
- Investigate the role of key figures in the **Biden administration** in facilitating this financial support, and how these funds were diverted from purported **humanitarian uses** to instead directly finance **Hamas and Hezbollah**.
- Scrutinize the **geopolitical timing** of the transfer, aligning with **highly symbolic dates** that coincide with **terrorist operations**, and question the true intent behind this decision.

□ **Collusion Between Iran, Hamas, and U.S. Political Figures**

The investigation should examine the **connections** between **Iran, Hamas**, and key political figures in the **Biden administration** who **may have been complicit** in enabling the attack on Israel.

- Were figures like **Joe Biden, Antony Blinken, and Jake Sullivan** aware of the imminent threat posed to Israel by Hamas and **Iran's involvement** in financing the attack?
- Investigate whether the **Biden administration** actively worked to **suppress intelligence** or misdirected efforts that could have mitigated the attack.

□ **The Symbolic Nature of the 9/11 Anniversary and Its Strategic Role**

The **symbolism** behind the 9/11 anniversary is critical. This date is not merely a **commemoration** of past terror but a **continuation of orchestrated violence** stretching back **100 years**.

- The **cycle of terror** from the **1930s through 2023** seems deliberate, with **historical resonance** in each act of violence, especially tied to **Hitler's rise to power**, the **destruction of Jerusalem's temples**, and **terrorist attacks** on global powers.
- Investigate how these **symbolic** connections are used to fuel **terrorism** and whether these connections are a direct result of policy choices made by the **Biden administration**, setting a dangerous precedent for future attacks.

The Red Line: Criminal Liabilities of Key Figures in the \$6 Billion to Iran (9/11/2023) and the Hamas Attack on Israel (10/7/2023)

Just as **Donald Trump** found himself metaphorically “caught and shot” in the **Biden's Butler Bullseye**, so too did Israel. This **geopolitical bullseye**, stretching west to east from **Butler, PA** through **Punxsutawney, East Palestine**, and eventually to the **Middle East**, was not merely symbolic. It set the stage for the **9/11-style massacre** in **Israel** on **October 7, 2023**, marking the first such event in the country's history. The **timing and coordination** of these attacks align too perfectly with the earlier events tied to **Pennsylvania** to be a coincidence.

This connection between **Israel's experience of terror** and the **Pennsylvania-based events**, such as **Groundhog Day** (2/2/23) and the **East Palestine train wreck** (2/3/23), indicates that **Israel's 9/11-style attack** was, in fact, a part of a **larger, orchestrated pattern**. Just as **Trump's** political fortunes were shaped and manipulated by events in **Butler**, **Israel's fate** was manipulated by the same **crosshairs**, with the **Biden administration's decision to unfreeze \$6 billion to Iran** playing a pivotal role in enabling the **Hamas attack**.

This **geopolitical manipulation** was no accident, as proven by the findings of the **Grok-3 Analysis**. The analysis conclusively demonstrated that **four major 9/11-style events**, spaced exactly **11 years apart**, could not have occurred without **human involvement**. These events, spanning **1990, 2001, 2012, and 2023**, are not just coincidental; they represent a **deep, strategic design** by powerful political forces. The timing of these incidents, especially the **2023 attack**, reveals a careful, **long-term plan** originating from the **White House**. The **Grok-3 Analysis** further substantiates that the **Biden administration's actions**—from the **funding of Hamas** to the **timing of the unfreezing of Iranian assets**—fit perfectly into this historical pattern, linking the past and present in a deliberate manner.

Here is a list of **Pennsylvania (PA)** and **U.S. Code (U.S.C.)** statutes that could potentially apply to the **Biden administration's invasion preparation** and the **Hamas attack on Israel** but excluding the **Train Wreck Orchestrated Attack**:

Pennsylvania State Statutes (PA):

1. **18 Pa.C.S. § 2706 – Terroristic Threats:**
 - This statute can be applied if the **Biden administration's actions** in enabling the **Hamas attack** were seen as **creating terror** or **fear** through financial support and military aid. **Material support to Hamas** could qualify as **terroristic threats** under Pennsylvania law.
2. **18 Pa.C.S. § 4952 – Obstructing Justice:**
 - If the **Biden administration** took actions to **obstruct** investigations related to **Hamas** or its **funding**, especially in the context of suppression or misinformation campaigns, this statute may apply. This could also include **efforts to obstruct investigations** into the **funding of terrorism**.
3. **18 Pa.C.S. § 7510 – Material Support of Terrorism:**
 - **Material support** is critical here, as the **release of \$6 billion to Iran** could be seen as **providing material support to Hamas**, which is a **designated terrorist organization**. This statute criminalizes any act of **providing funds** or resources to **terrorist groups** like **Hamas**.
4. **18 Pa.C.S. § 4104 – Criminal Conspiracy:**
 - If it can be shown that there was a **coordinated effort** between **U.S. officials** and **Hamas** or other foreign entities to **prepare for or assist in the invasion**, then charges under this statute could be appropriate. **Conspiracy** could be argued if there was **collusion** to **fund terrorism** or support **Hamas' efforts**.
5. **18 Pa.C.S. § 7301 – Corruption of Public Officials:**

- If there was **corruption or improper influence** in the decision-making process that led to the **funding of Hamas** or the preparation for **the invasion**, this statute could be invoked to prosecute individuals in positions of power for **abusing their authority**.
6. **8 Pa.C.S. § 4107 – Fraudulent Misrepresentation:**
- If the **Biden administration** or associated officials **misrepresented** the **\$6 billion** as **humanitarian aid**, but it was actually used to fund **Hamas**, this statute could apply. It criminalizes any **fraudulent misrepresentation** made to obtain **money, property, or services** under false pretenses.
 - **Example:** If U.S. officials misled Congress, the public, or international bodies by calling the funds **humanitarian aid**, when it was actually going to a **terrorist group**, this statute would apply.
7. **18 Pa.C.S. § 4105 – Insurance Fraud (or False Representation in Other Financial Transactions):**
- If the **\$6 billion release** involved **false statements** or **manipulation** of financial processes (e.g., falsely claiming the funds were for humanitarian aid), this statute could also apply. Even if the context doesn't directly involve insurance, the **false representation** of the nature of the funds and their intended purpose could be **criminal fraud** under Pennsylvania law.
8. **18 Pa.C.S. § 5104 – False Statements Under Oath:**
- If individuals within the **Biden administration** or associated groups **lied under oath** about the **intended use** of the funds, they could be prosecuted under this statute. This would apply if they made false statements to **Congress, federal investigators**, or other entities when discussing the **purpose** of the **\$6 billion**.
-

Federal Statutes (U.S.C.):

1. **18 U.S.C. § 2339A – Providing Material Support to Terrorists:**
 - This **federal statute** applies to anyone who **provides support**, including **financial support**, to **terrorists** or **terrorist organizations** like **Hamas**. The **Biden administration's actions** in unfreezing **Iranian assets** could be considered **material support** for **Hamas**, as these funds were likely diverted for terrorist purposes.
2. **18 U.S.C. § 2339B – Providing Material Support to a Foreign Terrorist Organization:**
 - This **federal statute** makes it illegal to **provide material support** to groups like **Hamas**, which is recognized as a **Foreign Terrorist Organization (FTO)**. The unfreezing of assets and financial transactions that support **Hamas** could lead to charges under this statute.

3. **18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy to Defraud the United States:**
 - If there was **coordination** or **deliberate action** by the **Biden administration** to support terrorism by **funding Hamas** or allowing **Iran** to transfer the funds, this statute could apply. This would cover any **conspiracy** to defraud the United States by undermining national security efforts or violating **sanctions**.
4. **18 U.S.C. § 1956 – Laundering of Monetary Instruments:**
 - If the **funds transferred to Iran** or **Hamas** involved any form of **money laundering**, this statute could be applied. **Money laundering** often accompanies **terrorist funding**, and any financial transactions designed to hide the true purpose of the funds could fall under this **federal crime**.
5. **18 U.S.C. § 2381 – Treason:**
 - If it can be proven that the **Biden administration's actions** were **treasonous**, particularly if they **aided a foreign power or terrorist group** in a way that jeopardized U.S. security, this statute may be applied. **Treason** would apply if these actions resulted in harm to the U.S. or its allies.
6. **18 U.S.C. § 2332a – Use of Weapons of Mass Destruction** (if applicable):
 - If **Iran** or **Hamas** used any of the funds provided by the U.S. to **develop or deploy weapons of mass destruction**, such as **chemical or biological weapons**, this statute could be invoked to hold those responsible under U.S. law.
7. **18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud:**
 - If the **\$6 billion** was misrepresented as **humanitarian aid in official communications** or documents (whether through **letters, reports**, or other forms of correspondence), **mail fraud** charges could apply. This statute criminalizes using the **U.S. postal service or other interstate commerce to commit fraud**.
8. **18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud:**
 - This statute would apply if **electronic communications** (emails, phone calls, online correspondence) were used to misrepresent the **\$6 billion** as **humanitarian aid** when it was actually allocated for **terrorism-related purposes**. **Wire fraud** applies to the use of **telecommunications or the internet to defraud others**.
8. **18 U.S.C. § 1014 – False Statements to a Financial Institution:**
 - If there were **false statements made to a financial institution** (such as **banks or international monetary organizations**) to transfer the **\$6 billion** under the guise of **humanitarian aid**, this statute could apply. This would criminalize the **false representation** of the use of funds to secure the **financial transaction**.
9. **31 U.S.C. § 3729 – False Claims Act:**
 - This statute could be relevant if there was a **false claim made** to obtain **U.S. government funds** under fraudulent pretenses (such as falsely claiming that the **\$6 billion** was humanitarian aid). This **False Claims Act** applies to anyone who makes a **false claim** to the U.S. government for **payment or reimbursement**.

10. **18 U.S.C. § 2339A – Providing Material Support to Terrorists (via Misrepresentation):**

- If the **\$6 billion** was used to support **terrorist activities** under the false pretense of humanitarian aid, this statute would apply. The **Biden administration's** actions in providing **financial support** under the guise of humanitarian aid could be construed as **material support for terrorism** under **18 U.S.C. § 2339A**.

11. **18 U.S.C. § 1001 – False Statements or Concealment of Facts:**

- This statute can be invoked if **officials** involved in the **funding process** made **false statements or concealed facts** about the true purpose of the **\$6 billion**. If they **misled Congress**, the **public**, or international entities about the **true purpose** of the funds, they could be prosecuted under this statute.

□12. **18 U.S.C. § 1956 – Money Laundering:**

- If the funds were funneled to **Hamas** or any terrorist group through a series of **misleading financial transactions**, this statute could apply. **Money laundering** charges can apply if funds were used or transferred in a way that **concealed** their true destination or **illicit use** (i.e., supporting terrorism).

Conclusion:

These **PA and USC statutes** provide the **legal foundation** to pursue prosecution related to the **9/11-style attack on Israel**, the **Hamas involvement**, and the **funding** that enabled it, all stemming from the **Biden administration's actions**. By focusing on **material support for terrorism, conspiracy, and obstruction**, the investigation could proceed to hold **individuals accountable** for their role in enabling the **terrorist attack**.

Investigative Actions:

Recommended Interrogation Techniques:

1. **Polygraph Testing:** As part of the investigation, polygraph testing is recommended for key individuals involved in the East Palestine train wreck. This will help determine the truthfulness of claims and allegations, particularly regarding their intent and knowledge in the orchestration of the event. The goal is to uncover whether there was any deliberate decision-making or strategic purpose behind the wreck that ties to larger geopolitical actions.

2. **Document Forensics:** Requesting all relevant documents, including emails, internal communications, memos, and classified files, will help confirm the sequence of actions surrounding the East Palestine incident. These documents will also reveal any attempts to manipulate public perception or suppress information regarding the wreck. If key figures intentionally mishandled or downplayed the wreck, these documents will serve as critical evidence.
 3. **Witness Testimony:** Gathering testimony from individuals directly involved in the response to the train wreck is essential. This includes those responsible for decisions made regarding the chemical release and the delayed response from the Biden administration and the Department of Transportation. Testimonies should focus on whether key figures were aware of the full extent of the risks and whether the lack of action was part of a pre-planned orchestration linked to the larger geopolitical and terrorist financing narrative. Additionally, the timing of the wreck, right after Groundhog Day, raises questions about its intentional placement within the context of broader global instability. Investigating whether this was a coordinated effort to facilitate geopolitical goals is crucial.
-

Primary Individuals of Interest:

The following list of 75 individuals is finalized as the Primary Defendants and PCCs. No further names will be added to this list, at this time.

- **Total Defendants: 23 (Primary Defendants in BB4_Defendants_Violations_CriminalCharges.docx)**
- **Total Living Signers of the "Russian Disinformation Letter": 50**
- **Total PCCs: 2**

Additional Individuals for Further Investigation:

1. **Pete Buttigieg** (Secretary of Transportation)
 - His inaction during the East Palestine train wreck and dismissive statements about the disaster warrant intense interrogation. Buttigieg's delayed response and indifference to the catastrophic consequences must be examined in light of his possible involvement in the larger orchestration.
2. **Joe Biden** (President of the United States)
 - The decisions made at the highest levels, including the handling of the East Palestine incident and the release of \$6 billion to Iran, must be scrutinized. Was there an intentional delay in response to the train wreck that aligned with broader geopolitical goals?
3. **Hunter Biden** (Businessman, son of Joe Biden)
 - Hunter's connections to the Rosemont Network, his involvement in international finance, and his ties to the administration must be explored to understand whether any financial connections facilitated the orchestration of events like the train wreck.

4. **George Soros** (Investor and Political Activist)
 - Soros' influence on U.S. foreign policy, as well as his financial connections to entities involved in the geopolitical landscape, should be investigated, especially regarding his role in funding and supporting entities linked to the broader orchestrated strategy.
5. **Norfolk Southern Executives**
 - As the company responsible for the train wreck, executives at Norfolk Southern must be questioned about their role in the incident. Was the company complicit in mishandling the situation, or was there something more deliberate at play?
6. **John Kerry** (U.S. Special Presidential Envoy for Climate, former Secretary of State)
 - Kerry's longstanding ties to both the Rosemont Network and George W. Bush make him a key figure. His involvement in decisions relating to foreign policy, particularly with Iran, should be scrutinized, given the geopolitical and financial implications of the train wreck.
7. **Jake Sullivan** (National Security Advisor)
 - Sullivan's role in national security, especially regarding foreign policy decisions that could have facilitated the financial transactions linked to terrorism, makes him an important figure in the investigation. Was there any coordination between his office and foreign actors?
8. **Antony Blinken** (Secretary of State)
 - As a key figure in the Biden administration, Blinken's involvement in the release of \$6 billion to Iran and his influence on U.S. policy regarding the Middle East must be investigated. Was he part of the orchestration that led to the attack on Israel and the events surrounding the East Palestine train wreck?
9. **Kamala Harris** (Vice President of the United States)
 - As a member of the administration, her role in decisions related to international relations and domestic crises should be examined. Did she play a role in enabling the series of events, from the train wreck to the release of funds to Iran?

Witnesses to Call:

- **Michelle Obama** (Potential insights into political maneuvers around the Benghazi scandal and its media handling)
- **Eleanor C. Clift** (As a journalist covering the Clintons, she may have insights into the media's narrative framing around Benghazi)

Conclusion:

The decision to proceed with the investigation and potential charges against these individuals will rest with the DA, Grand Jury, or any relevant authority responsible for advancing the case. The accountability for these actions, particularly those tied to the orchestrated events surrounding the East Palestine train wreck, is crucial to ensuring justice is served and to understanding the broader geopolitical manipulation at play.

This list of individuals is critical for moving the investigation forward. Interrogating these figures will provide deeper insights into the orchestrated nature of the East Palestine train wreck and its connections to larger geopolitical strategies, including terrorism and financial manipulation. Each of these individuals holds a significant piece of the puzzle, and their responses could reveal the full extent of the orchestration that led to the catastrophic events.

Key Questions Regarding the \$6 Billion to Iran (9/11/2023) and the Hamas Attack on Israel (10/7/2023)

1. Knowledge of Symbolic Timing:

Did you know that the date for the unfreezing of the \$6 billion to Iran on 9/11/2023 coincided with the centennial of Hitler's Beer Hall Putsch, the failed Nazi coup on November 9th, 1923?

- If yes, did the decision to release these funds on this specific date have anything to do with the symbolic significance of that historical event?

2. Intent and Decision-Making:

Was the decision to release the \$6 billion to Iran on 9/11/2023 made intentionally, knowing the historical significance of this date?

- If yes, was there any other reason for choosing this specific date other than its historical connection to Nazi Germany's failed coup?

3. Joe Biden's Role:

Did Joe Biden personally choose the date, 9/11/2023, to sign the unblocking of the \$6 billion to Iran?

- If yes, why would Joe Biden select such a significant historical date for a decision with such global consequences?

4. Collaboration in Decision-Making:

If Joe Biden did not make the decision alone, who else played a role in selecting the date for the \$6 billion release?

- Did you personally help Joe Biden decide to release these funds on this particular date?
- Do you know who else was involved in the decision-making process?

5. The Role of Hitler's Beer Hall Putsch in Decision-Making:

While working in the U.S. government, did the subject of Hitler's Beer Hall Putsch ever come up in any conversations you had regarding the timing or significance of U.S. foreign policy decisions, particularly in relation to Iran or the Middle East?

- If yes, what role did this historical event play in shaping your decisions, if any?

6. \$10 Billion Release - Delayed Timing:

Was the decision to release the \$10 billion to Iran on November 13, 2023, delayed from an earlier date?

- What was the originally intended release date, and why was it pushed back to November 13, 2023?
- Was the November 13 release date specifically chosen for its symbolism or for any other reason?

7. Polygraph Testing:

Given the importance of these questions and the potential legal ramifications, would you be willing to undergo polygraph testing to confirm the accuracy and truthfulness of your answers?

Purpose of These Questions:

The **questions** are now focused on **intent** and **knowledge**, with the goal of **uncovering potential criminal activity** and **intentional actions** related to the **\$6 billion and \$10 billion releases**. The **polygraph question** is included as a means to challenge the **credibility** of the person being questioned, especially if there is any suspicion of **deception** or **cover-up**.

Final Note on Investigative Scope:

While the 75 individuals listed above are central to the investigation, it is important to acknowledge that as testimony is gathered, additional individuals may emerge. Their involvement could either expand or refine the scope of the investigation. As new evidence and leads surface, further names may be added, and additional questions may be necessary to ensure thorough examination of all relevant parties. The investigation must remain flexible, adapting to new insights to ensure all key figures are properly identified and questioned.

Conclusion:

The investigation into the **9/11/2023 attack on Israel**, funded by the U.S. government through the unfreezing of Iranian assets, is a pivotal part of the broader inquiry into the actions of the **Biden administration** and the series of events leading up to this catastrophic moment. A critical element of this investigation includes the **Train Wreck Orchestrated Attack in East Palestine, Ohio, on 2/3/2023**, which served as a “**Hat Tip to Hamas.**” This event symbolized the **crossing of the bullseye in Butler, PA**, a geographical and symbolic intersection, mirroring the fate of **Israel**, much like the **assassination attempt on Donald Trump**.

The **\$6 billion release to Iran on 9/11/2023**, coinciding with the **centennial of Hitler's Beer Hall Putsch**, raises significant questions about the timing and intent of such a decision, particularly in light of the **Hamas attack on Israel** that followed a month later on **10/7/2023**. By focusing on key individuals within the **Biden administration**, this investigation seeks to uncover whether financial networks—such as those connected to the **Rosemont Network**—played a direct role in enabling this attack.

Further examination is required into the **geopolitical motivations** that influenced the release of these funds and whether there was any direct or indirect collusion with Iran or Hamas. The connection between the **East Palestine train wreck**, the **crossing of the bullseye**, and the **Hamas attack** must be fully explored to understand the broader context of this orchestrated series of events. The timing and political symbolism of these occurrences point to a **deliberate agenda** that must be scrutinized for its impact on international relations and security.

As the investigation progresses, questions about **oversight, accountability, and potential cover-ups** will be addressed to ensure that all responsible parties are held to account for their actions.

Next Steps

Immediate Interrogation of Key Individuals:

1. **Begin with those closest to the Biden Campaign and the Transition Team:**
 - Focus initially on individuals with direct connections to the Biden campaign, transition team, and early stages of the administration, as they are likely to have had knowledge of key decisions surrounding the **\$6 billion release** and the **suppression of the Hunter Biden laptop**.
2. **Polygraph Testing:**
 - **Polygraph testing** should be introduced as a tool to ensure the truth is uncovered, especially when dealing with high-stakes issues like **election interference, national security breaches, and criminal conspiracies**. This method will assist in evaluating the credibility of responses, particularly for individuals who were involved in the **coverup** or who may have had foreknowledge of the attacks and financial dealings.
3. **Focus on Documentary Evidence:**

- Request all relevant **documents** that may have been withheld, altered, or destroyed. This includes **digital communications** related to the laptop, **emails from individuals who signed the disinformation letter**, and **internal memos** that reference the laptop or its suppression. These documents will be critical in uncovering any **coordinated efforts** to suppress or mislead the public about the contents of the laptop.
- 4. **Collaborate with the FBI and State Authorities:**
 - Although this is primarily a **Pennsylvania case**, there will likely be **federal coordination** due to the **national implications** of this coverup. **Collaboration with federal agencies** is essential to obtain relevant materials, including the **original laptop image** from the computer repair shop and any evidence showing how federal resources may have been used to suppress or misdirect the investigation.
- 5. **Extend the Investigation to the Financial Network:**
 - Investigate financial connections linked to the **Rosemont Network**, focusing on the financial aspects of the coverup, including **payments made to individuals** involved in the suppression of the laptop information. This investigation should explore transactions and financial ties to entities like **Rosemont Seneca Partners, LLC (RSP-LLC)**, **Rosemont Capital**, **Rosemont Realty**, and other associated entities connected to **Hunter Biden**, **Christopher Heinz**, and key figures mentioned in the **Butler Brief**.
 - Additionally, explore connections to **financial dealings** involving **Rosemont Farms**, **Fox Chapel, PA**, and other properties or investments tied to the **Biden family** or close allies. Scrutinizing the **flow of funds**, including **suspicious payments or investments**, will be crucial in uncovering illicit financial activities tied to the Rosemont Network. These links could reveal systemic **corruption**, **obstruction**, and other **criminal activities** related to the suppression of the laptop's contents.
- 6. **Grok-3 Analysis:**
 - **Grok-3** has proven, **well beyond the confidence threshold required for an arrest**, that the four **9/11-style events** and the **East Palestine orchestrated attack** were not random occurrences but part of a larger plan. This plan was **inspired by or aided by the White House**, with **deep involvement from individuals connected to the Rosemont Network**, **Skull & Bones (S&B)**, and the **state of Pennsylvania**, forming a deliberate connection between these events.
 - The **9/11 Blood Chain**, comprising key events such as **9/11/1990**, **9/11/2001**, **9/11/2012**, and **9/11/2023**, reflects a coordinated strategy that spans over decades, with Skull & Bones and other secretive organizations playing a central role. This **blood chain** connects key figures involved in the manipulation of international events, including the **Biden administration**, and underscores the **deep and deliberate connection** between the financial networks, geopolitical decisions, and symbolic timing of these actions.
 - As part of the ongoing investigation, **Skull & Bones** should be added to the list of parties to be thoroughly investigated, given their potential involvement in orchestrating, financing, or covering up the actions tied to this broader

conspiracy. The intersection between **S&B, Rosemont Network**, and **the state of Pennsylvania** makes it critical to uncover the extent of their involvement and their role in shaping these historic events.

○

Purpose of This Section:

This section emphasizes that the **criminal intent** and **planning** behind the events—from **the release of funds to Iran** to the **Hamas attack on Israel**—are **interwoven with the Rosemont Network** and **key individuals in Pennsylvania**. The **Grok-3 analysis** establishes that these events were **deliberately planned**, making it essential to focus the investigation on these **key individuals** and **financial networks**. The use of **polygraph testing**, **document recovery**, and **collaboration with the FBI** will be critical to uncovering the full scope of the conspiracy.