

TRI06: The Politburo of Dark Brandon – Dunn, Bauer, and Klain’s Signature Racket, the White House Media Shield, and the Rosemont Network’s Coup

Filename: TRI06_White-House-Office-and-Staff_PDF-A-1b.pdf

Version: 1.1.0 **Release Date:** September 17, 2025

Overview:

This **Target-Rich Interrogation (TRI)** exposes how **Anita Dunn**, **Bob Bauer**, and **Ron Klain** functioned not merely as advisors, but as the unelected **Politburo** ruling the United States during the incapacitation of **President Joe Biden**. According to whistleblower testimony revealed by **Ed Martin**—who relayed the whistleblower testimony now described as the ‘Deep Throat’ moment of the Biden Politburo scandal—this Politburo controlled executive decisions, bypassed constitutional checks, and allegedly sold **pardons and commutations for millions** through an unauthorized **AutoPen** racket.

Jill Biden and **Hunter Biden** appear to have been granted the lead role in this covert administration, with **Jill** allegedly in a position to **veto anything**, which effectively placed the ultimate control of the White House under the broader influence of the **Rosemont Network**. While **Ed Martin** has not yet directly named the Rosemont Network as the vehicle, circumstantial patterns strongly suggest its fingerprints were embedded in the machinery of power.

Jen Psaki and **Karine Jean-Pierre (KJP)**, though not decision-makers in this scheme, served as strategic media operatives. As the primary public-facing defenders of what has now come to be known as the “**Dark Brandon**” regime, Psaki and Jean-Pierre enabled the concealment of this shadow administration and its ongoing crimes—including those tied to **geopolitical destabilization**, **election interference**, and **attempted political assassination**.

Their conduct must now be reviewed in light of the **July 2024 interview** between **President Biden** and **George Stephanopoulos**. Shortly after that interview aired, Stephanopoulos was caught on camera stating:

“I don’t think he can serve four more years.”

He later admitted the remark, saying, “**I shouldn’t have responded.**” In his own published account, Stephanopoulos described the president as “**heartbreaking up close.**”

These public statements—especially from one of the administration’s most loyal media figures—strongly suggest that **Biden’s cognitive and physical decline** was already well-known to insiders, including the **White House Press Office**. Yet Psaki and Jean-Pierre continued issuing statements meant to preserve the **illusion of command**—an illusion that protected not just Biden, but the **illegal actions** being carried out in his name.

They didn't just lie about **COVID**, **Hunter's laptop**, or **Biden's foreign policy**. They couldn't even tell the truth about what millions of Americans could see with their own eyes—that **Joe Biden** was no longer fit to serve. And in protecting that lie, they protected far worse lies: the use of **AutoPen** to sign orders Biden may never have reviewed, the entrenchment of **Rosemont-aligned interests** in government contracting, and the rise of **Dark Brandon**—a persona that masked criminal intent with staged theater.

Their deception didn't just protect a crumbling image. **It cost lives.**

- On **October 7, 2023**, over **1,200 Israelis** were slaughtered, and hundreds taken hostage.
- On **July 13, 2024**, **President Trump** was nearly assassinated in **Butler, Pennsylvania**.
- Before and between, a trail of destruction unfolded—from the **East Palestine train wreck**, to the **Mar-a-Lago raid**, to the **\$6B payout to Iran** on **9/11/23**.

Their lies about Biden's fitness were not harmless PR spin. They were part of a **conspiracy** to suppress the truth, manipulate public trust, and shield those orchestrating **political and geopolitical violence**.

Now they must answer for it.

1. Objective:

To investigate the **insider knowledge** Psaki and Jean-Pierre possessed about the **inner workings of the Biden Administration**, including the use of **AutoPen**, chain-of-command breakdowns, and cover-ups related to national security.

To examine the **lies they told publicly** and how those falsehoods contributed to **obstruction, deflection, and concealment** of key scandals, including:

- The **COVID-19 response**
- **Election disinformation** surrounding the 2020 cycle
- The **Hunter Biden laptop and Rosemont Network** scandals
- Biden's "**bullseye**" **remark** before the attempted assassination of President Trump
 - To determine whether their public statements were:
 - **Directed by criminal handlers,**
 - **Influenced by covert operations,** or
 - **Used to advance the geopolitical agenda** of the Rosemont Network and **Dark Brandon** regime.

2. Focus Areas:

- **Liability for Lying:**

Assess Psaki and Jean-Pierre's role in disseminating knowingly false or misleading statements from the White House podium—particularly those that shielded the public from criminal acts tied to the Rosemont Network and the Dark Brandon agenda.

- **Polygraph Authorization & National Security Exposure:**

Examine whether their status as government employees—entrusted with sensitive national messaging—permits or compels polygraph testing under national security protocols. This includes their knowledge of forged authorizations, AutoPen misuse, or breakdowns in chain-of-command communication.

- **Media Staff Complicity & Narrative Engineering:**

Identify the staff members who contributed to or crafted press briefings, talking points, and response strategies. Evaluate whether they acted as innocent functionaries or active co-conspirators in concealing the administration’s real agenda—ranging from election interference to geopolitical destabilization.

3. Key Questions for Investigation:

Karine Jean-Pierre (KJP) – Key Questions for Investigation

White House Press Secretary (May 2022 – Jan 2025)

A. AutoPen and Signing Authority

- While serving as White House Press Secretary, did you ever become aware—through internal communications or staff briefings—that President Biden delegated signing authority to others, or approved the use of AutoPen for official or personal documents?

B. 2. Protocols Regarding AutoPen

- Were there any policies or protocols put in place during your tenure concerning the use of AutoPen for Executive Orders, military authorizations, or intergovernmental communications?

C. 3. Documents Bearing Biden’s Signature

- Did any documents arrive into your office, or the press communications pipeline, already bearing the signature of President Biden without confirmation that he had personally reviewed them?

D. 4. Review and Alteration of Documents

- Were you ever informed of—or did you personally witness—President Biden’s involvement in reviewing, altering, or bypassing draft materials before they were finalized for public release or inter-agency use?

E. 5. Concerns Over Document Authenticity

- Was there ever internal confusion, concern, or debate over the authenticity of documents or directives issued by the President's office during your time as Press Secretary?

F. 6. Disparaging Remarks or Anti-Semitic Undercurrent

- Did you ever witness or hear of disparaging remarks made inside the White House about the Jewish people or the nation of Israel?
- Did you ever observe or suspect an anti-Semitic undercurrent influencing foreign policy or internal White House discussions?

G. 7. Suspected Criminal Activity

- Were you ever aware of—or did you suspect—that a criminal act or acts were being perpetrated by members of the Biden Administration during your service?

H. 8. On the Binders

- Who helped create the press briefing binders you relied on during your time as Press Secretary?
- Were there individuals within the White House or the administration who contributed to the content or strategy behind these binders?
- Did any other officials play a role in drafting or filtering the messaging you delivered?

I. 9. Mar-a-Lago Raid (August 8, 2022)

- Was the White House involved in coordinating or responding to the Mar-a-Lago raid?
- Did President Biden have any input or knowledge about the FBI's actions in the days leading up to the raid?
- How did the White House Press Office manage the messaging around the raid and its implications for national security?

J. 10. \$6B Transfer to Iran on 9/11/2023

- Did you, or anyone in the White House, receive briefings about the timing of the \$6 billion transfer to Iran on 9/11/23?
- Was there any internal discussion or concern about the political implications of releasing this amount on the ceremonial anniversary of Hitler's Beer Hall Putsch?
- Did you personally suspect that the funds could be used to support Iran's nuclear ambitions or enable attacks on Israel through Iranian proxies (e.g., Hamas, Hezbollah)?
- What role did you play in crafting or approving the press strategy around this controversial transfer?

K. 11. Hunter Biden and the Rosemont Network

- While serving as White House Press Secretary, were you ever involved in responding to media inquiries about Hunter Biden’s business dealings, including those involving Rosemont Seneca Partners or affiliated entities?
- Did you have any internal knowledge of efforts by the White House to suppress, mislead, or obscure details about Hunter Biden’s financial relationships, foreign contracts, or payments tied to Rosemont entities?

L. 12. East Palestine Train Wreck (February 3, 2023)

- Prior to February 3, 2023, had you ever heard of the town East Palestine, Ohio?
- Were you surprised that the United States had a town named East Palestine, and did that name strike you as symbolically significant—especially in light of events that unfolded later in the year?
- Did you or your staff prepare any press materials intended to minimize the appearance or severity of the derailment?
- Did you have any role in advising Secretary Pete Buttigieg to delay public statements, avoid the media, or downplay the federal government’s responsibility for the disaster?
- Did any member of your staff or another White House office raise concern that the timing and geographic placement of the wreck—in the heart of the Rosemont Seneca Bullseye corridor—may have had deeper implications?

13. FEMA Response to 2024 Hurricanes and Allegations of Political Bias

Context: The 2024 Atlantic hurricane season brought historic devastation across the Southeast and Gulf Coast. Major storms—including Hurricanes Beryl, Helene, Kirk, Milton, and Rafael—triggered massive federal response operations involving FEMA.

In the aftermath, a FEMA whistleblower came forward alleging that field workers were ordered to skip homes displaying pro-Trump signs. The employee who exposed the policy was fired, but the political damage—and moral outrage—remain.

Key Questions:

- Were you ever present for, briefed on, or indirectly aware of conversations within the White House suggesting that FEMA prioritize Democratic or Biden-supporting households during disaster relief?
- Did you ever overhear or participate in conversations that used phrases like “less racist aid deployment,” “targeted equity zones,” or other euphemisms to justify biased relief allocation?
- Were there any internal communications, strategy calls, or informal discussions between the White House and FEMA leadership about how to frame or spin disaster response in politically sensitive regions?
- Once the whistleblower claimed FEMA was ordered to avoid Trump-supporting homes, was there any emergency meeting or damage control effort initiated by the White House Press Office?
- Did the administration take any concrete steps to issue corrective guidance, restore non-partisan relief protocols, or publicly condemn political bias in federal disaster response?

14. October 7, 2023 – The Massacre in Israel and Biden’s Messaging Before and After

Pre-Attack Knowledge and Briefings:

- In the weeks or months leading up to the October 7 Hamas attacks on Israel, did you receive or witness any briefings—classified or informal—that referenced intelligence chatter, increased threat levels, or changes in White House posture toward Israel, Iran, or Hamas?
- In hindsight, can you recall any behavior, comment, or document that now appears to be a missed indicator of foreknowledge?
- Did President Biden ever express doubts about Israel’s right to self-defense, or discuss the region in a way that suggested hesitation to support Israeli security?
- During the post-attack media cycle, when President Biden answered a question about forewarning with a one-word denial—“No”—were any follow-up questions discouraged or shut down by the White House Press Office?

Post-Attack Messaging and the “Junk Fees” Focus:

- In the days following the Hamas massacre—which killed over 1,200 Israelis and resulted in hundreds of hostages—President Biden repeatedly highlighted bank transaction fees and “junk fee elimination” in public appearances.
 - Were you involved in drafting or approving that messaging strategy?
 - Did you or anyone else suggest that shifting focus to “junk fees” might help deflect attention from foreign policy failures or Biden’s reluctance to stand firmly with Israel?

Veiled Antisemitism and Psychological Motives:

- Are you aware of any internal concerns that the “junk fees” narrative could be perceived as a veiled antisemitic message, subtly associating Jewish suffering with financial exploitation tropes?
- Were such risks discussed internally or dismissed outright?
- Was it you or someone from your team who proposed or approved Biden’s “junk fee” remarks in the wake of one of the deadliest attacks on Jewish civilians since the Holocaust?

Jen Psaki – Former White House Press Secretary (January 2021–May 2022)

Key Questions Psaki must answer under oath:

A. AutoPen and Signing Authority

- While serving as White House Press Secretary, did you ever become aware—through internal communications or staff briefings—that President Biden delegated signing authority to others, or approved the use of AutoPen for official or personal documents?

B. Protocols Regarding AutoPen

- Were there any policies or protocols in place during your tenure concerning the use of AutoPen for Executive Orders, military authorizations, or intergovernmental communications?

C. Documents Bearing Biden’s Signature

- Did any documents arrive into your office, or the press communications pipeline, already bearing the signature of President Biden without confirmation that he had personally reviewed them?

D. Review and Alteration of Documents

- Were you ever informed of—or did you personally witness—President Biden’s involvement in reviewing, altering, or bypassing draft materials before they were finalized for public release or inter-agency use?

E. 5. Concerns Over Document Authenticity

- Was there ever internal confusion, concern, or debate over the authenticity of documents or directives issued by the President’s office during your time as Press Secretary?

F. Disparaging Remarks or Anti-Semitic Undercurrent

- Did you ever witness or hear disparaging remarks made inside the White House about the Jewish people or the nation of Israel?
- Did you ever observe or suspect an anti-Semitic undercurrent influencing foreign policy or internal White House discussions?

G. Suspected Criminal Activity

- Were you ever aware of—or did you suspect—that a criminal act or acts were being perpetrated by members of the Biden Administration during your service?

H. On the Binders

- Who helped create the press briefing binders you relied on during your time as Press Secretary?
- Were there individuals within the White House or the administration who contributed to the content or strategy behind these binders?
- Did any other officials play a role in drafting or filtering the messaging you delivered?

I. Mar-a-Lago Raid Preparation (if applicable)

- Prior to your departure in May 2022, were there any discussions inside the White House suggesting potential legal or political action against former President Donald Trump, including **future plans to raid his residence** or gather evidence under sealed warrants?
- Did the White House Press Office strategize how to **frame or preemptively justify** aggressive legal actions against Trump in the lead-up to the 2022 midterms?

J. Hunter Biden and the Rosemont Network

- While serving as White House Press Secretary, were you ever involved in responding to media inquiries about **Hunter Biden's business dealings**, including those involving **Rosemont Seneca Partners or affiliated entities**?
- Did you have any internal knowledge of efforts by the White House to suppress, mislead, or obscure details about Hunter Biden's financial relationships, foreign contracts, or payments tied to Rosemont entities?

K. Iran, Israel, and Foreign Policy Narrative Control

- Were you involved in shaping White House messaging around U.S. relations with **Iran or Israel**, particularly during sensitive diplomatic negotiations?
- Did the administration express internal concern about **optics involving Jewish interests or Israeli sovereignty**?
- Were you instructed to **downplay support for Israel**, or avoid using terms that might spark criticism from the far-left wing of the party?

4. Key Defendants and Witnesses:

• Anita Dunn (Defendant)

Anita Dunn served as the regime's chief propagandist—an unelected operator who controlled media access, spin cycles, and internal cover-ups from her West Wing war room. As a founding member of Biden's unelected Politburo, Dunn helped run the country while President Biden was incapacitated. She reportedly used her deep ties to press outlets to suppress scandals, silence dissent, and sell influence for profit. Evidence suggests she played a direct role in whitewashing the Rosemont Network's operations through strategic disinformation and manipulation of official narratives.

• Bob Bauer (Defendant)

Bob Bauer, White House Counsel and husband of Anita Dunn, is named in whistleblower reports as a core member of the Biden Politburo. Bauer allegedly leveraged his legal authority to rubber-stamp a criminal racket involving unauthorized AutoPen use, forged executive orders, and the sale of commutations and pardons for millions of dollars. His lawfare expertise made him the architect of legal deception, shielding a president who may not have understood what he was signing.

- **Ron Klain (Defendant)**

Ron Klain, former White House Chief of Staff, was not just a gatekeeper—he was the backroom enforcer of the Politburo’s agenda. According to whistleblower Ed Martin, Klain coordinated forged directives, manipulated cabinet-level access, and helped insulate Biden from both responsibility and exposure. Klain must testify to how far the Rosemont Network’s grip reached inside the Executive Office.

- **Steve Ricchetti (Defendant)**

A longtime political fixer, Steve Ricchetti has been described by insiders as the enforcer—a ruthless operator who threatened allies, punished reporters, and ensured full loyalty to the fake presidency constructed around Biden. Ricchetti’s involvement in managing Trump’s rally schedule and the Mar-a-Lago raid demands urgent review. He too may have profited from the illegal pardon and commutation ring.

- **Bruce Reed (Defendant)**

As Deputy Chief of Staff, Bruce Reed implemented internal orders from the Politburo and is believed to have coordinated several of the forged or AutoPen-approved documents now under investigation. Reed’s deep role in Rosemont-related contracting schemes and censorship initiatives must be thoroughly exposed.

- **Dr. Kevin O’Connor (Defendant)**

Dr. Kevin O’Connor served as President Biden’s personal physician and was one of the few individuals with consistent, unsupervised access to him in moments of vulnerability, crisis, or confusion. As Biden’s health visibly deteriorated in recent years, O’Connor remained silent, issuing carefully worded statements and avoiding full disclosure about the President’s cognitive status and potential cancer-related treatments, including growing suspicions of colon cancer.

O’Connor likely witnessed firsthand the toll of aging, medications, and stress on the President — not in briefings or press events, but behind closed doors. In this capacity, he may have been privy to deeply personal or even operational secrets — without the legal protection of attorney-client privilege or the spiritual sanctity of a confessional. If Biden expressed intent, malice, confusion, or desperation regarding classified actions, strategic targeting, or re-election efforts, O’Connor cannot claim immunity from the consequences of silence.

His role must be investigated not only for potential medical concealment, but also for aiding or enabling a cover-up of broader criminality — including the use of forged signatures, autopen abuse, and concealment of key events such as the October 7 terror attacks, the Mar-a-Lago raid, and the Butler assassination attempt. If he continued certifying Biden as fit to serve while knowing otherwise, O’Connor may be complicit in obstruction of justice, election interference, and political fraud.

- **Annie Tomasini (Defendant)**

Annie Tomasini, one of President Biden’s longest-serving aides, served as Director of Oval Office Operations (2021–2024) and later as Deputy White House Chief of Staff (2024–2025). In both roles, she maintained unprecedented physical access to the President, often acting as his

gatekeeper, handler, and internal buffer. Tomasini controlled who saw Biden, when, and under what conditions — making her one of the few individuals who likely witnessed his most unfiltered moments.

Her proximity to Biden placed her in a unique position to observe not just signs of physical and cognitive decline, but also potential manifestations of deeper ideological bias — including antipathy toward Israel, the timing of key executive actions such as the September 11, 2023, authorizations, and the “bullseye” comment made just five days before the attempted assassination of Donald Trump.

Given her seniority and intimate access, Tomasini must testify as to what she saw, when she saw it, and whether she helped conceal presidential incapacity, antisemitic tendencies, or the criminal coordination of events later covered up by the White House inner circle. Her place in Biden’s innermost ring — and her silence — now demand scrutiny.

• **Jen Psaki (Defendant)**

Jen Psaki did not merely spin the truth—she sold fiction as reality. Her press briefings were designed to uphold an illegal structure while insulating those responsible. She may have aided in covering for forgery, foreign payments, and Biden’s cognitive collapse.

• **Karine Jean-Pierre (Defendant)**

Karine Jean-Pierre continued Psaki’s deception, helping deflect blame for the East Palestine disaster, the 9/11 Iran payout, and the Butler assassination attempt. She repeated lies she knew were false, allowing the White House’s criminal operations to proceed unchecked.

• **Michael LaRosa (Defendant)**

Former Press Secretary to First Lady Jill Biden, Michael LaRosa once described himself as “part of the family” — a trusted insider who remained fiercely loyal even after departing the White House in 2022. LaRosa has publicly denied ever witnessing signs of Joe Biden’s physical or cognitive decline. That claim, contradicted by multiple sources and mounting visual evidence, suggests not just blind loyalty — but active complicity.

LaRosa’s silence raises deeper questions.

If he wasn’t truthful about Biden’s condition, what else did he hide?

- Did he know the President was being medically treated — possibly for colon cancer — while the public was told otherwise?
- Did he witness White House discussions about Biden’s signature being outsourced to an AutoPen — even on pardons?
- Was he aware of the timing behind the August 8, 2022 Mar-a-Lago raid or Biden’s signature on the Iran deal announcement of 9/11/2023?

LaRosa wasn’t a background figure. He was inside the circle.

Now he must be held accountable for what he saw — and what he helped to suppress.

• **Jake Tapper (Defendant)**

A paid protector of the regime, Jake Tapper was instrumental in running cover for the Rosemont Network, discrediting legitimate evidence, and elevating propaganda. His coordination with White House staffers like Dunn and Klain must be investigated, as well as his cover-up of the authenticity of Hunter's laptop. As a lead anchor and political correspondent for CNN, Jake Tapper played a pivotal role in shaping the public's perception of both the **Hunter Biden laptop scandal** and the broader **Rosemont Network narrative**. Tapper's visible efforts to discredit the laptop as "Russian disinformation" contributed directly to the suppression of critical evidence during the 2020 election cycle. His role in reinforcing false narratives, shielding Biden from scrutiny, and delegitimizing investigative journalism makes him a central figure in the Rosemont Network's media arm.

• **White House Media Staff (Potential Witnesses)**

Numerous staffers working under Psaki and Jean-Pierre likely had **direct knowledge** of message coordination, document review, and emergency press strategy. These witnesses may confirm whether deceptive narratives were scripted in advance, who contributed to them, and whether White House messaging was weaponized to protect criminal actions.

1. Staffers Involved in Briefings

- Individuals who helped draft or review briefing materials, public responses, and binders. Their insight could confirm **how talking points were constructed**, who approved them, and whether they knowingly included false or misleading information.

2. Communications & Crisis Strategy Personnel

- Staff involved in shaping responses to national or international events—such as the **East Palestine derailment**, the **\$6B transfer to Iran**, or **Biden's "bullseye" remark**—may offer testimony on whether the administration sought to **divert, distort, or suppress critical information** during politically damaging moments.

3. Additional Internal Observers

- Broader White House staff not directly involved in press operations, but who may have overheard or been included in internal discussions surrounding **document authenticity, presidential incapacity, chain-of-command breakdowns, or**

politically motivated retaliation. Their corroboration could confirm the extent to which criminal actions were internally known—and systematically covered up.

• **WH Media Staff Responsibilities & Potential Legal Exposure:**

- Given the role of White House Press Secretaries and their teams in orchestrating media narratives, any individual involved in the creation, distribution, or manipulation of media materials—especially regarding sensitive national security or legal matters—could face legal consequences if their actions were found to be part of a broader cover-up. The media staff's cooperation in investigations, including their ability to testify under oath, is crucial for verifying the integrity of White House communications and understanding the full extent of the administration's activities.

Connection to Steven Hassan:

The communication strategies employed by **Jen Psaki** and **Karine Jean-Pierre (KJP)**, former and current **White House Press Secretaries**, provide a fascinating lens through which to examine the application of **Steven Hassan's BITE model** of **emotional, behavioral, information, and thought control**. As figures in positions of immense public influence, both Psaki and KJP played pivotal roles in shaping and controlling the narrative surrounding the **Biden administration**, particularly in relation to **controversial topics** like **Hunter Biden's laptop** and **political violence**.

The way these press secretaries delivered their talking points often mirrored the techniques described in **Hassan's BITE model**. For example, the **information control** aspect was evident in how they selectively filtered information, steering the media and public narrative in ways that supported the administration's agenda. Similarly, **thought control** can be seen in how certain key issues were framed and how dissenting opinions were either dismissed or framed as **illegitimate**. This is consistent with Hassan's model, where **thought reform** is used to align individuals with a particular ideology, shaping how they perceive reality.

Additionally, both **Psaki** and **KJP** were responsible for steering the **emotional control** tactics used to sway public opinion, especially in regard to controversial issues surrounding the **Biden family** and political adversaries. Their ability to **manage public emotion**—from anger to support—was a critical component of the **BITE model's emotional control** framework, ensuring that the **Biden administration** remained in control of its public image, despite scandals.

In this context, Hassan's model offers a critical lens through which to analyze how **public figures**—especially those in **high-level political roles**—can manipulate information, influence **public perception**, and control the **emotional narrative** surrounding key political events. The role of the **White House Press Secretary** may appear merely as communication strategy, but through the lens of **BITE**, it is evident that these tactics go beyond traditional PR work, entering the realm of **ideological control**.

Witnesses to Call:

- **Kamala Harris** (As Vice President, her statements and influence could be important for understanding the administration's messaging, which she clearly helped to shape.)

The Statutory Red Line: Violations Triggered by This Investigation

Pennsylvania Crimes Code (Pa.C.S.)

- **18 Pa.C.S. § 903 – Criminal Conspiracy**
Participating in a coordinated scheme to protect unlawful acts or deceive the public.
- **18 Pa.C.S. § 4911 – Tampering with Public Records or Information**
Obstructing the public record by knowingly presenting or supporting forged documents or misrepresented signatures.
- **18 Pa.C.S. § 4912 – Impersonating a Public Servant**
Supporting or enabling false authorizations under the guise of legitimate presidential orders.
- **18 Pa.C.S. § 4906 – False Reports to Law Enforcement Authorities**
Issuing or assisting with official statements that intentionally distorted facts related to active investigations or intelligence failures.
- **18 Pa.C.S. § 5101 – Obstructing Administration of Law or Other Governmental Function**
Hindering lawful investigations, internal oversight, or press scrutiny through coordinated public deception.
- **18 Pa.C.S. § 4101 – Forgery**
Aiding or enabling the use of documents bearing Biden’s signature without verification of authenticity.
- **18 Pa.C.S. § 4107 – Deceptive or Fraudulent Business Practices**
Participating in structured public messaging designed to obscure criminal financial dealings tied to Hunter Biden and the Rosemont Network.

United States Code (U.S.C.)

- **18 U.S.C. § 1001 – False Statements**
Knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing, or covering up material facts in official statements or communications.
- **18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud**
Using electronic communications (email, media platforms, press infrastructure) to further a scheme to defraud or deceive the American public.
- **18 U.S.C. § 1512 – Obstruction of Proceedings / Witness Tampering**
Interfering with lawful investigations, grand juries, or official inquiries by withholding or manipulating key facts.

- **18 U.S.C. § 1519 – Falsification of Records in Federal Investigations**
Concealing or altering government documents, talking points, or briefing binders tied to official acts.
- **18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy to Defraud the United States**
Collaborating to defraud or obstruct the lawful functions of the executive or legislative branches through coordinated misinformation.
- **18 U.S.C. § 2 – Aiding and Abetting**
Assisting others in the commission of crimes, including those involving false documents, Rosemont financial misconduct, or misrepresentation of national security events.
- **18 U.S.C. § 1621 – Perjury**
Providing knowingly false testimony under oath regarding any of the above matters, including foreign policy briefings, document authenticity, or knowledge of criminal acts.
- **18 U.S.C. § 641 – Theft of Government Property or Records**
Misappropriating briefing materials, classified talking points, or signature-bearing documents to support unauthorized or criminal actions.
- **18 U.S.C. § 2384 – Seditious Conspiracy** (*conditional*)
If evidence emerges that White House messaging was part of a deliberate effort to shield an unfit President and undermine the lawful transfer or exercise of power.
- **5 U.S.C. § 7311 – Federal Employee Loyalty and False Oath**
Violating the federal employee oath through deception, obstruction, or betrayal of constitutional duty.

Further Action

- **Identify key members of the White House Media Staff** who contributed to briefing preparation and internal messaging, especially during moments of national crisis or scandal.
- **Assess which staffers may be willing to testify**, particularly in light of newly emerging evidence that suggests criminal activity, deception, or internal dissent.
- **Explore legal pathways to compel polygraph testing** for Jen Psaki and Karine Jean-Pierre, based on their federal service, national security clearances, and role in potential criminal concealment.

Conclusion

This investigation brings into focus **two women who served as the voice of the Biden administration**, shielding unlawful actions behind polished podiums and coordinated narratives. Their testimony—and the corroboration of those who worked beneath them—could **reveal how the public was manipulated**, how presidential incapacity was covered up, and how the press operation became a firewall for criminal power.

The time for spin is over. The time for answers has begun.